IDAHO COUNCIL ON DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES

GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE MEETING Thursday, April 19, 2007 10:00 a.m. - 11:30 a.m. Parkcenter Marriott Spring Hill Suites, Boise

Committee Members: Debbie DePaola, Rick Betzer, James Steed, Kim Kane, Dona Butler, Liz Ricciardi, Julie Fodor

MINUTES

AGENDA İTEM	ACTION
Call to order	Meeting was called to order at 10:10 a.m.
	Members in attendance: Dona Butler, Rick Betzer, Liz
	Ricciardi, Debbie DePaola, James Steed, and Julie Fodor.
	Members absent: Kim Kane
	Staff present: Marilyn Sword, Amanda Holloway
Approval of	Julie would like to amend the minutes to reflect that Matt
Minutes	Wappett attended on her behalf and recommended that
	when a delegate is sent representing an agency member,
	the minutes reflect that as well. James moved to amend
→	the minutes to reflect Matt Wappett representation of
	UCED, on behalf of Julie. Liz seconded.
Announcements	Kim is unable to join, but will attend the full council
	meeting; Dona will be late. We must vacate the room at
	11:30.
Policies	Page 6 in the Governance packet. At the January 26 th
Discussion -	meeting, the committee discussed the Dos & Don'ts policy
Addendum to 108	with the regard to the code of ethics for the Executive
(Code of Ethics)	Directors. Marilyn referenced the sections of the Idaho
	Ethics and Governance Manual from the Attorney
	General's office that apply to Policy 108. Kim modified the
	DHW employee's Conflict of Interest and ethical behavior
	and added section on confidentiality. Marilyn will provide
	Rick with a letter regarding dual employment. She
	currently co-owns a consulting firm (Frontier Group) with
	her husband and serves as the firm's president.
→	James moved to approve conflict of interest and
	ethical behavior with addendum of letter stating dual
	employment. Julie seconded. Motion passed
	unanimously.

-Recommendations At the January 26 th meeting the Membership Committee		
-Recommendations	At the January 26 th meeting the Membership Committee	
for Policy 103	decided to revise Policy 103 so that it better addressed	
	unexcused absences. It clarifies what an excused	
	absence is and that a member's membership will be	
	reviewed if 3 or more consecutive absences occur. The	
	hours indicated in the definition of absence were	
	increased from 4 to 8. Subsection D was incorporated	
	under background, and sections E and F were deleted. F	
	was adequately explained in section 4. Marilyn read	
	section 4 (d, e, f). Section 4 describes processes for	
	removal by the Governor. Marilyn recommended referring	
	to the full council for clarification by the Membership	
	Committee and making a motion at that time. Julie	
→	recommended presenting the policy with the new	
	language to the full Council with an explanation on	
	what the background is. Liz moved to accept Julie's	
	recommendation, Rick seconded. Passed	
	unanimously.	
	Marilyn suggested the motion should be to ask the full	
	council to vote on policies. She referenced how previous	
	policies recommendations had been made in November.	
	The motion would be to approve Governance Committee	
	report, which included the changes to some policies,	
Conflict Decolution	without discussing the policies in full.	
Conflict Resolution	At the last Governance Committee meeting the Grievance	
Policy	Policy was changed to Conflict Resolution. Marilyn	
	received comments from DHR on the revised policy. A	
	typo was fixed under problem solving. DHR suggested	
	changing the language to reflect the grievance was to be filed with the Executive Director not DHW. A non-	
	retaliation clause was also added. DHR clarified that to	
	involve the Governance Committee or Council you can't	
	add additional steps that only apply to state employees,	
	but there needs to be language that is collaborative to the	
	Governance Committee and the Council. There is no	
	appeal process after the Executive Director has made a	
	decision. If mediation is required it would be at the	
	Council's expense, not the employee's. No further	
	discussion. Rick made motion to accept the Conflict	
→	Resolution Policy as presented. James seconded.	
	Motion passed unanimously.	
L		



Carefornia	The Committee was issued as a famous as was ante for Marsali
Conference	The Committee reviewed conference reports for Magali
Funding	Fleming and Laura Thompson. Both reimbursements have
Requests/Reports	been processed. The Hiatt family also attended the same
	conference as Magali Fleming attended, but have not sent
	in a request reimbursement. Marilyn received two new
	requests, one for Kimberly Larsen to attend the P.L.A.Y
	Project sponsored by Easter Seals-Goodwill. She is
	requesting \$269 to attend that conference. Monies for this
	conference will be paid out of parent education for
→	stipends. Julie moved to approve funding request for
_	\$269. Liz seconded. No further discussion. Motion
	passed unanimously.
	Theresa Wilding submitted an application to attend SABE
	board meeting. The request was for \$200 to cover meal
	expenses. Julie expressed concerns on this being an
	ongoing meeting and if the Council would provide
	, ,
→	financing each year. Julie requested that Theresa
7	provide the Council with a plan for future activities
	and annual expenses. Theresa will be representing
	SALN at a national level. SALN would need a firm
	commitment from Theresa to represent SALN. Julie made
→	a motion to approve [Theresa's request] with a
	recommendation for direct communication with SALN
	president John Russell on the expectations of
	communication. (The approval letter should
	recommend regular communication with SALN.) Liz
	seconded. Motion passed unanimously. SALN needs
	to discuss its relationship with SABE, including how they
	would select their representative to SABE after the
	conclusion of Theresa's term on the Board.
Review of	Marilyn explained that during a federal sight visit in 2001,
Memorandum of	ADD recommended that the Council updated the MOU
Agreement with	with DHW and review it every few years. The current MOU
DHW	at the time was from 1989. Marilyn revised it and sent to
	the DHW Director Kurtz. Given that almost six years have
	passed, there is a new administration and a new DHW
	Director it was decided that the MOU needed to be
	reviewed.
]

Review of Memorandum of Agreement with DHW

Review of questions:

- 1. Is the Council placed in a department that provides services or supports to individuals with developmental disabilities? Yes. Councils are not supposed to be in a DSA that provides service delivery since the Council monitor the agency's activities, but Idaho was grandfathered into this arrangement when the Council was established.
- 2. Is there an MOU in place that addresses possible conflict of interest issues? Yes, however the MOU could include activities as one of the subcomponents: The DSA shall not interfere with planning, monitoring functions and activities of the Council.
- 3. Have there been efforts by the DSA to interfere directly or indirectly with the programmatic activities of the Council? No, the MOU includes non-interference language.
- 4. Has the DSA supported the Council, directly or indirectly, in carrying out the Council's functions, even if they are in opposition to the position of the DSA? Yes, the DSA continued its administrative support for the Council even when the Council was opposing the Department's position regarding expansion at the state school.
- 5. Is the placement of the Council at an appropriate level? Currently the Council is placed in FACS, Michelle Britton is the Division Administrator, but we also have contact with Dave Butler who is the Administrator for management services. DHW provides, fiscal, legal and payroll support.
- 6. Fiscal services: is the DSA prompt in processing of fiscal requests; is authorization at the director's level and/or is the level of consent to expend dollars minimal and no more than a department level request? The DSA files the 269 fiscal reports on our behalf. They don't give us any additional scrutiny above anyone else. Per the legislative office Marilyn and Amanda met with management services to go over new travel procedures. The basis was to put all costs associated with travel on one form. They do require a letter of

	 justification for advances. The do not charge us anything for providing our support. They do charge us about \$2000 a year for Off the Tops which include phone services. That is the only cost we pay DHW to provide us services. Have there been any instances where the DSA withheld or delayed consent to contract and expend dollars that the Council has authorized? No. The only delays we have are if desired contracts aren't filled out correctly. Have there been any instances of the State attempting to assign Council staff to other duties, interfering with Council hiring or firing? No. We generally deal directly with DHR and not DHW HR. DHW does will provide technical assistance. Has there been any interference or attempted interference with Council activities or budget? No. Has the DSA supported the Council in obtaining "reasonable state financial participation in the cost of carrying out the plan?" Yes, DHW makes up the 25% match that is not covered by the general fund with unmatched funds from the Infant Toddler program. In many states the Council is much more regulated by the DSA. The Idaho Council has had a good working relationship with its DSA.
→	Rick made a motion to accept the MOU with the added activities and updated federal law number. Dona seconded. Approved unanimously. Marilyn will revise the current MOU and renew it with the current DHW Director.
Next meeting	The next meeting will be held at 10:30 am on Thursday, July 26, 2007.
Agenda Items	Next meeting agenda items include: Review final policies – 108, 103 and Code of Ethics Review final MOU with DHW Conference reports from Dona Butler, Theresa Wilding
Adjourn	There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 11:35.